

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/634,702 | 08/05/2003 | Kimberly A. Campana | 29939/38771 7556 | |
| 4743 | 7590 06/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP | | | GELLNER, JEFFREY L | |
| 6300 SEARS 233 S. WAC | S TOWER KER DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | 3643 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| \ |
|-----|
| O |
| 112 |

Applicati n No. Applicant(s) 10/634,702 CAMPANA, KIMBERLY A. Office Action Summary Examin r **Art Unit** Jeffrey L. Gellner 3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

| _ | | | |
|---|----|----|----|
| • | ta | TI | 16 |

| Any | reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) |) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | | |
| _ | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/634,702

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a lawn edging, classified in class 47, subclass 9.
- II. Claims 10-29, drawn to a method of molding plastic and a product of the method, classified in class 264, subclass 294.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II (except for claim 29) and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product (the lawn edging) can be made with a whittling a lager piece of plastic.

Inventions II (only claim 29) and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a roofing material. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,702

Art Unit: 3643

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner

MILML